

Some people believe that companies should pay for damages they cause to the environment, while others say that the government should be responsible for such expenses. Do you agree or disagree? Give reasons for your answer and include any examples from your experience?

The issue of accelerating damages to the environment has one again come under the spotlight. Some researchers assume that the companies producing pollutants regularly are responsible for such a hazardous difficulty. Some others, however, assert that governments need to shoulder the burden of tackling this challenging situation. From my point of view, solving this problem require a close partnership between both the government and managers of companies.

First and foremost, authorities had better allocate certain amounts of money to recruiting some environmentalists as well as mechanical engineers as their consultant to focus on finding main causes of damages and investigating numerous beneficial solutions. For instance, they can introduce an array of rules and pose limitations on people using their own cars rather than public transportation systems or even provide them with bicycles for cycling to some destinations in the close vicinity. The government can exert wind energy and solar panels instead of fossil fuel which is an effective solution to reduce releasing noxious fumes to the air.

Companies should help the authorities to carry out their projects and observe the rules regarding managing this problem. The case in point is placing some filters on the exit way of fumes produced during work and broadening the knowledge of their workers in regard to the detrimental effects of these emissions on their health. What these functions lead to is changing the filters on a regular basis and respect other rules regarding mitigating these challenges.

On the whole, I once again reaffirm my position as to doing environmentally friendly projects. I believe that either governments or company owners are responsible for facing this issue.